The Tunneling Transform

Robert Hipple
Michigan State University
Department of Physics and Astronomy
East Lansing, Michigan

(Dated: November 12, 2014)

We supplement the Lorentz transform $L(v)$ with a new"Tunneling" transform $T(v)$. Application of this new transform to elementary quantum mechanics offers a novel, intuitive insight into the nature of quantum tunneling; in particular, the so called "Klein Paradox" is discussed.

arXiv:1411.2586v1 [physics.gen-ph] 10 Nov 2014

I. ISOTROPY OF SPACETIME

The Lorentz transformation admits eigenvectors [1]. These eigenvectors correspond to definite directions in spacetime, which seems to imply that there exist preferred directions in spacetime. Consider two laboratories, A and B, separated in spacetime by sufficient distance such that no signal traveling at the speed of light has yet been able to traverse the intervening space. If A performs experiments to determine the direction in spacetime of the eigenvector of the Lorentz transformation, will B measure the same direction in spacetime for his Lorentz transformation eigenvector?

As a more specific example, consider a copy of $\mathbb{R}^2$ equipped with a coordinate system. Define a linear transformation

$$A : \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}^2$$

and assume A to have two distinct, real eigenvectors. There is an ambiguity in this definition due to the arbitrariness in the selection of a coordinate system. For if

$$A\mathbf{x} = k\mathbf{x}$$

then

$$R(\theta)A\mathbf{x}R^{-1}(\theta) = R(\theta)AR^{-1}(\theta)R(\theta)\mathbf{x}R^{-1}(\theta) = kR(\theta)\mathbf{x}R^{-1}(\theta).$$

A rotation of the coordinate system results in different eigenvectors.

If the Lorentz transformation [2] is written in the form

$$L(v) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-\frac{v^2}{c^2}}}\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & v \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ \frac{v}{c^2} & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \tag{0}$$

Then a "spacetime rotation" by an angle θ would have the form

$$R(\theta) = \begin{bmatrix} \cos\theta & 0 & 0 & \sin\theta \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ -\sin\theta & 0 & 0 & \cos\theta \end{bmatrix} \tag{1}$$

which is distinct from a purely spatial rotation as it involves the time coordinate. The matrix (1) can be written as

$$T(v) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1+\frac{v^2}{c^2}}}\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & v \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ \frac{-v}{c^2} & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Let O_T be the frame of reference induced by the $T(v)$ transformation. An observer in this frame might perform an experiment to measure the eigenvectors of the Lorentz transformation in his frame. That is, he would look for eigenvectors of

$$T(v)L(v')T(-v),$$

and would measure these. In other words, the speed of light would be constant under a Lorentz transformation in the O_T frame. In fact, all of Special Relativity carries over unchanged into O_T.

II. EXISTENCE OF A "SPACETIME ROTATION" T(v)

If such a transformation $T(v)$ is possible, then there must be some means by which its effects can be measured. It will be shown that such a transformation does indeed exist, and that its effects are well known.

To this end, introduce three observers: O, O_L and O_T. Each of these observers are initially positioned at the origin. Suppose O to be at rest, and let O_L be subjected to a Lorentz transformation $L(v)$ with respect to O. Similarly, let O_T be subjected to a transformation $T(v)$ with respect to O. The question before us is how might O distinguish between O_L and O_T?

It is sufficient to work in a single spatial dimension and time. We have

$$L(v) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-\frac{v^2}{c^2}}}\begin{bmatrix} 1 & v \\ \frac{v}{c^2} & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

and

$$T(v) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1+\frac{v^2}{c^2}}}\begin{bmatrix} 1 & v \\ \frac{-v}{c^2} & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

We note two differences between the $L(v)$ and $T(v)$ transformations; the leading "gamma" factors contain a sign difference, and the v/c^2 matrix elements have opposite signs. To describe the effects of these differences, we follow de Broglie [3] and set up two simple wave functions for particles at rest - one for O_L:

$$\Psi_L(x_L, t_L) = \cos\left(\frac{mc^2}{\hbar} t_L\right)$$

and another for O_T:

$$\Psi_T(x_T, t_T) = \cos\left(\frac{mc^2}{\hbar} t_T\right).$$

We next apply the respective transformations to these wave functions to study their appearance in the O frame. We have

$$t_L = \frac{t - vx/c^2}{\sqrt{1-v^2/c^2}}$$

and

$$t_T = \frac{t + vx/c^2}{\sqrt{1+v^2/c^2}}$$

giving

$$\Psi_L = \cos\left(\frac{mc^2}{\hbar}\frac{t - vx/c^2}{\sqrt{1-v^2/c^2}}\right) = \cos(\omega_L t - k_L x)$$

and

$$\Psi_T = \cos\left(\frac{mc^2}{\hbar}\frac{t + vx/c^2}{\sqrt{1+v^2/c^2}}\right) = \cos(\omega_T t - k_T x)$$

Where we have made the substitutions

$$k_L = \frac{mc^2}{\hbar}\frac{-v/c^2}{\sqrt{1-v^2/c^2}} \tag{2}$$

$$\omega_L = \frac{mc^2}{\hbar}\frac{1}{\sqrt{1-v^2/c^2}} \tag{3}$$

and

$$k_T = \frac{mc^2}{\hbar}\frac{v/c^2}{\sqrt{1+v^2/c^2}}$$

$$\omega_T = \frac{mc^2}{\hbar}\frac{1}{\sqrt{1+v^2/c^2}}$$

We can discuss the phase and group velocities for Ψ_L and Ψ_T once we have determined their respective dispersion relations. Solving (2) and (3) for v^2/c^2 and equating, we find:

$$\hbar^2\omega_L^2 = m^2c^4 + \hbar k_L^2 c^2.$$

Likewise for ω_T and k_T

$$\hbar^2\omega_T^2 = m^2c^4 - \hbar k_T^2 c^2$$

Now we calculate the phase and group velocities. For the Lorentz transformation case, $L(v)$, we derive the familiar relations

$$\text{Phase velocity: } \omega_L/k_L = c^2/v \tag{4}$$

and

$$\text{Group velocity: } d\omega_L/dk_L = v \tag{5}$$

In contrast, for the transformation $T(v)$ we derive

$$\text{Phase velocity: } \omega_T/k_T = c^2/v \tag{6}$$

and

$$\text{Group velocity: } d\omega_T/dk_T = -v \tag{7}$$

This result highlights the essential difference between a Lorentz transformation $L(v)$ and a spacetime rotation $T(v)$; the phase velocities are identical, but the group velocities are oppositely directed. Equivalently, one can say that it if two objects acquire the same velocity, one by virtue of applying $L(v)$ and the other by applying $T(v)$, they possess oppositely directed phase velocities (Figure 1).

The significance of this result can be made clear by examining the energy-momentum relation for the two cases.

$$E_L^2 = m^2c^4 + p_L^2c^2$$

or, adding a potential V [4]

$$(E_L - V)^2 = m^2c^4 + p_L^2c^2. \tag{8}$$



FIG. 1. Comparison of $L(v)$ (solid lines) and $T(v)$ (dashed lines)

For small p_L this becomes

$$E_L - V = \frac{p_L^2}{2m},$$

which is the nonrelativistic energy-momentum relation. Applying the standard prescription

$$E \to i\hbar\frac{d}{dt} \tag{9}$$

$$p \to -i\hbar\frac{d}{dx} \tag{10}$$

to convert this into a quantum mechanical statement we arrive at the nonrelativistic Shrödinger equation

$$i\hbar\frac{\partial\Psi_L}{\partial t} = -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m}\frac{\partial^2\Psi_L}{dx^2} + V\Psi_L.$$

Separating out the time dependence,

$$\frac{\hbar^2}{2m}\frac{d^2\psi_L(x)}{dx^2} + (E-V)\psi_L(x) = 0, \tag{11}$$

we recognize the time independent Shrödinger equation.

Repeating the above procedure to derive the energy-momentum relation under the $T(v)$ transformation, we find:

$$(E_T - V)^2 = m^2c^4 - p_T^2c^2 \tag{12}$$

Expanding this relation to second order about $p_T = 0$ gives

$$E_T - V = -\frac{p_T^2}{2m}$$

If we postulate that prescription (9) holds good for Tunneling-transformed particles, we find the time-dependent Shrödinger equation in this case takes the form

$$\frac{\hbar^2}{2m}\frac{d^2\psi_T(x)}{dx^2} + (V-E)\psi_T(x) = 0 \tag{13}$$

Comparing (11) and (13), we clearly see the difference between a test particle whose rest frame has been "Lorentz transformed" and another test particle whose rest frame has been transformed by $T(v)$. Transforming a particle by $T(v)$ is nothing less than the well-known phenomenon of **quantum tunneling**, seen here in a new light. This clearly demonstrates the physical reality of the proposed new spacetime rotation, and suggests that we identify the new $T(v)$ transform as the "Tunneling" transform since its effect is simply to transform a test particle from rest to a tunneling state.

III. TUNNELING IN A STATIC, HOMOGENEOUS FIELD.

It can be shown that the Tunneling transform arises from relativistic proper acceleration. Proper acceleration is defined as that which a test particle experiences within its rest frame [5]. The worldline of a test particle undergoing proper acceleration α is given by

$$x^2 - c^2t^2 = c^4/\alpha^2.$$

As before, let the observer O be at rest, and let O_L be the Lorentz-transformed frame. We want O_L to be uniformly accelerated by a constant value α. We require the velocity of all points of O_L to increase simultaneously. Since simulataneity is relative, we specify that this acceleration is with respect to the frame O; v is then a function of t alone.

$$t' = \frac{t - v(t)x/c^2}{\sqrt{1-\frac{v(t)^2}{c^2}}} = \frac{t - \alpha tx/c^2}{\sqrt{1-\alpha^2t^2/c^2}}$$

We want to calculate

$$\frac{\partial t'}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\left(\frac{t - v(t)x/c^2}{\sqrt{1-\frac{v(t)^2}{c^2}}}\right) = \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\left(\frac{t - \alpha tx/c^2}{\sqrt{1-\alpha^2t^2/c^2}}\right)$$

for fixed x, at time $t = 0$. Further, we assume that $v = 0$ initially. This gives

$$\frac{\partial t'}{\partial t} = 1 - \frac{\alpha x}{c^2}.$$

That is, $\partial t'/\partial t$ grows linearly with x, and according to General Relativity, this is precisely the definition of a static homogeneous gravitational field [6] [7].

Therefore, for constant acceleration in spacetime we can write

$$t' = t - \alpha t x/c^2.$$

This is nonlinear, but if we expand t' in the neighborhood of an event (x_0, t_0) we can write

$$dt' = \frac{\partial t'}{\partial x}dx + \frac{\partial t'}{\partial t}dt = -\frac{\alpha t_0}{c^2}dx + \left(1 - \frac{\alpha x_0}{c^2}\right)dt$$

Repeating the previous analysis of the de Broglie wave, we make the identifications

$$\omega = 1 - \frac{\alpha x_0}{c^2} \tag{14}$$

$$k = -\frac{\alpha t_0}{c^2} \tag{15}$$

and calculate the phase velocity as

$$v_\phi = \frac{1 - \alpha x_0/c^2}{-\alpha t_0/c^2}$$

For group velocity we need $\omega(k)$. But there is no functional relationship between ω and k unless there is a relationship between x and t. We can create such a relation by specifying a path through spacetime and calculating the group velocity along that path[1]. If we set $x(t) = vt$, for example, we find a group velocity of

$$v_g = \frac{d\omega}{dk} = v$$

It remains to find the conditions by which the phase velocity v_ϕ and the group velocity v_g have opposite directions, which is the hallmark of the Tunneling transformation. Since, for example, we are free to choose $v_g > 0$, we only require

$$v_\phi = \frac{1 - \alpha x_0/c^2}{-\alpha t_0/c^2} < 0$$

or

$$\frac{c^2}{\alpha} < x_0 \tag{16}$$

[1] It has been pointed out [8] that since we are free to choose *any* values for (x_0, t_0), one can find a group velocity of any value. Therefore we should only choose events which might actually describe the path of a particle.

It is interesting to note that if we multiply (16) by a parameter m, then this relation is equivalent to

$$mc^2 = m\alpha x.$$

If m is interpreted as the rest mass of a test particle, then α is identified as the acceleration of the static homogeneous field.

IV. ANALYSIS OF THE KLEIN "PARADOX"

The Klein "Paradox" is not really a paradox at all [9] [10]. It has long been recognized as the inadequacy of single particle quantum mechanics to describe what is actually a multiparticle process. A summary of the phenomenon is as follows. A test particle encounters a step potential, and the wave function splits into a reflected part which moves away from the step, and a transmitted part which tunnels into the step. The difficulty arises when the height of the potential step rises beyond a certain threshold, $V = 2mc^2$, where m is the rest mass of the test particle. It is instructive to analyze this process within the paradigm of the new Tunneling transform.

The initial work with the Klein Paradox was performed within the context of the Dirac equation [11] [12]. However, it can also be analyzed in the context of the Klein-Gordon equation [13].

We proceed as follows. From Schiff [4], we start with the energy-momentum relation for $L(v)$:

$$(E - V)^2 = m^2c^4 + p^2c^2$$

Assuming V to be a constant and making the substitutions (9) we derive the (one dimensional) Klein-Gordon equation for a test particle encountering a step potential:

$$\frac{d^2\phi}{dx^2} + \frac{(E - V)^2 - m^2c^4}{\hbar^2c^2}\phi = 0.$$

The solutions are oscillatory so long as

$$(E - V)^2 > m^2c^4.$$

This means that the test particle must have enough energy after surmounting the potential V to still possess a nonzero kinetic energy and also account for its rest energy. If

$$(E - V)^2 = m^2c^4$$

then the test particle has no excess energy after accounting for the rest energy. This is a turning point; the



FIG. 2. Energy - momentum relations of $L(v)$ and $T(v)$

kinetic energy and momentum of the test particle at this point are 0.

If the potential is increased a bit more, then

$$(E - V)^2 < m^2c^4$$

and there is no excess energy with which to form momentum. To an observer at rest, the test particle is said to have entered a "tunneling state" which is often interpreted as a state of imaginary momentum.

The Tunneling transformation offers a more intuitive interpretation. Starting with the energy-momentum relation for $T(v)$ (12):

$$(E - V)^2 = m^2c^4 - p^2c^2,$$

the Klein-Gordon equation for a test particle in a step potential now takes the following form:

$$\frac{d^2\phi}{dx^2} + \frac{m^2c^4 - (E - V)^2}{\hbar^2c^2}\phi = 0.$$

Refer to figure 2, which compares the energy-momentum relations for $L(v)$ and $T(v)$. We can interpret tunneling as the test particle converting its rest energy into a source of momentum. This can continue until all of the rest energy has been converted into momentum, i.e, when

$$(E - V)^2 = 0$$
$$\text{or}$$
$$m^2c^4 - p^2c^2 = 0$$

This is the largest value of momentum possible for this test particle. The entire kinetic energy as well as the rest energy of the particle has been converted into momentum; $pc = mc^2$.

Here we have only reached the point where $E = V$, or in the case of a test particle with no initial kinetic energy, just $V = mc^2$. At this point, the phase velocity is 0. The Tunneling transform has rotated the frame of the test particle by $\pi/2$. Here the Tunneling transform has the form

$$\begin{bmatrix} \cos\frac{\pi}{2} & \sin\frac{\pi}{2} \\ -\sin\frac{\pi}{2} & \cos\frac{\pi}{2} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

If we continue the Tunneling transform through higher angles, we move into the regime where we are on the negative branch of the energy [14]:

$$E = -\sqrt{m^2c^4 - p^2c^2}$$

and pc is begins decreasing from its maximum value of mc^2. This is recognized as an antiparticle state; the Tunneling transform has continuously converted the test particle into an antiparticle. As pc continues to decrease, it eventually reaches $pc = 0$. It is at this point that we reach the threshold of the Klein paradox, an antiparticle at rest.

$$E - V = -\sqrt{m^2c^4}$$

or

$$V > E + mc^2$$

.

A final note about "group velocity". The phase velocity of the wave function for a test particle at rest is infinite. This, of course, does not mean that any physical object is moving at an infinite rate. It simply means that the set of events we are referring to appear to be simultaneous with respect to a particular observer. In the same way, what is a "group velocity" to one observer can appear to be nothing more than a set of simultaneous events to another observer, so long as their respective frames are related by a Tunneling transformation. Therefore the apparent difficulties with a constant time rate gradient (e.g. apparently "infinite" group velocities) are not an issue when the frames are related by a Tunneling transformation.

V. SUMMARY

We supplement the Lorentz Transformation with a new"Tunneling" transformation which is nothing more than a rotation in spacetime. Test particles subjected to this Tunneling transformation are tunneling particles; their essential property is that they observe a reversal in the direction of phase velocity with respect to group velocity. We have shown that the Tunneling transformation follows from the relativistic analysis of a static, homogeneous field. Finally, we apply the Tunneling transformation to the Klein paradox, and see that it is nothing more than the spacetime rotation of a tunneling particle into its antiparticle.

[1] Frederick Byron, Robert Fuller, *Mathematics of Classical and Quantum Physics, Vol 2*: Addison-Wesley Publishing Company, Reading Massachusetts, 1970.

[2] Herbert Goldstein, *Classical Mechanics, 2nd Edition*: Addison-Wesley Publishing Company, Reading Massachusetts, 1980.

[3] Louis de Broglie, *Recherches Sur La Theórie Des Quanta*: Ann. de Phys 10, 1925.

[4] Leonard I. Schiff, *Quantum Mechanics*: McGraw-Hill, 1968.

[5] Wolfgang Rindler, *Relativity; Special, General and Cosmological, 2nd edition*: Oxford University Press, 2006.

[6] F. Rohrlich, *The Principle of Equivalence*: Annals of Physics: 22, 169-191, 1963.

[7] C. Møller, *The Theory of Relativity*: Oxford Univ. Press, London, 1952.

[8] Dr. Mark Dykman, *Private Communication*: Department of Physics and Astronomy, Michigan State University.

[9] V.L. Telegdi, *Klein's Paradox Revisited*: U. Lindstrom ed., World Scientic, Singapore, 1995.

[10] Alex Hansen and Finn Ravndal, *Klein's Paradox and Its Resolution*: Physica Scripta, Vol. 23, 1046-1042, 1981.

[11] O. Klein, *Die Reflexion von Elektronen an einem Potentialsprung nach der relativistischen Dynamik von Dirac*: Z. Physik 53, 157, 1929.

[12] Fritz Sauter, *Zum "Kleinschen Paradoxon"*: Z.Phys. 73, 7-8, 547-552, 1932.

[13] Rolf G. Winter, *Klein Paradox for the Klein-Gordon Equations*: American Journal of Physics, Volume 27, Issue 5, pp. 355-358, 1959.

[14] R.P. Feynman, *The Theory of Positrons*: Physical Review 76 ,6, 1949.